November 19, 2025

# Chronic Absenteeism in Post-Pandemic Education

**Edward Dieterle, Ed.D.**
ed@educationresearchparterns.org

**Marianne Bakia, Ph.D.**
marianne@innovationpartners.online

## THE CRISIS AT A GLANCE

Chronic absenteeism has reached crisis proportions in American public education, with national rates remaining

**50-100% higher than pre-pandemic levels**. While approximately 13-15% of students (6 million children) were chronically absent before COVID-19, rates nearly doubled to 30% during 2021-2022. Though rates have declined to approximately 19%, this still represents over 9 million K-12 students missing 10% or more of school days annually.

## FIVE CRITICAL FINDINGS FROM RESEARCH AND EXPERTS

Drawing on an extensive investigation of more than 500 bibliographic sources, interviews with 12 nationally recognized experts, and evidence from national conferences, this paper identifies five key findings that challenge education leaders to fundamentally reevaluate traditional approaches.

**1. Understanding the Evidence Base Is Necessary but Not Sufficient.** While the research literature on chronic absenteeism is extensive and provides critical insights, knowing what the research says does not automatically translate into successful implementation. Districts must master both the evidence base and the implementation realities.

**2. Chronic Absenteeism Represents a Symptom of Systemic Challenges**. Attendance patterns reflect complex interactions among economic instability, health disparities, housing insecurity, and community violence. Narrowly focused, school-centric interventions have limited effectiveness; schools cannot solve chronic absenteeism independently.

**3. Post-Pandemic Shifts Require Innovative, Systemic Approaches**. The pandemic fundamentally transformed how families view school attendance. Districts must acknowledge these shifts rather than attempting to restore previous norms; instead, they should develop new value propositions for in-person learning through engaging experiences and meaningful relationships.

**4. Authentic Community Voice and Partnership Prove Essential for Effectiveness.** Interventions developed with authentic community participation achieve significantly better outcomes than those imposed without meaningful input. True partnership requires shared decision-making power and a willingness to be influenced by community wisdom.

**5. Implementation Quality Through Continuous Improvement Trumps Program Selection on Its Own.** Implementation quality predicts outcomes more strongly than specific program models. Districts achieving sustained improvements invest in implementation infrastructure, maintain interventions through initial challenges, and treat interventions as hypotheses to be tested through continuous improvement cycles. One researcher emphasized, “This perspective shifts districts from program adoption to continuous improvement cycles, treating interventions as hypotheses to be tested.”

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

**1. Implementation infrastructure over program proliferation**. Districts need capacity-building support for diagnostic approaches tailored to local contexts.

**2. Community engagement capacity** that enables authentic partnership with families and community members, particularly those from vulnerable populations disproportionately affected by chronic absenteeism.

**3. Cross-sector collaboration** that addresses root causes beyond school walls, including partnerships with health services, housing agencies, and community organizations.

**4. Continuous improvement systems** that help districts maintain interventions through initial challenges while learning what works in their specific context.

**5. Innovation in value proposition** that helps schools develop compelling reasons for in-person attendance that acknowledge changed family expectations post-pandemic.

## THE PATH FORWARD

Districts achieving sustained improvements share common characteristics: commitment to understanding local contexts, investment in relationship-building capacity, authentic partnership with communities, and dedication to continuous improvement processes. Foundation support should enable these capacities rather than prescribing specific programs.

The work is substantial and ongoing, but the evidence illuminates a clear path: embrace complexity, center community voices, prioritize implementation quality, and recognize that chronic absenteeism serves as a barometer for broader systemic health. Addressing it requires organizational change, not just program adoption.

**Reference**

Dieterle, E., & Bakia, M. (2025). *Evidence-based interventions to address chronic absenteeism: Strategic insights for education leaders*. Innovation Partners in Education.

"Chronic absenteeism is a symptom; it's not the problem."

"I think every district needs to do this work for itself, because even though you can look at a hundred studies and see the same patterns and issues, their solutions are highly dependent on local context."

"Students from low-income households face multiple, compounding barriers that manifest as irregular attendance."

"We're on a different track entirely" from pre-pandemic patterns. Schools must develop new value propositions for in-person learning through engaging experiences and meaningful relationships."

"Strategies don't become solutions until you test them. They don't become solutions until you can attest that you've actually seen that they work."

"Districts that view chronic absenteeism solely as an accountability metric miss the opportunity to address real challenges facing students and families."

"I think there's been a cultural change in people's expectations, especially among parents. Their sense of what absence costs, or what the trade-offs are, has shifted."

"When schools, families, and communities collaborate and share responsibility for students' education, more students succeed in school."

"You can fetishize the multi-tiered approach (i.e., MTSS) and offload the thinking onto the framework. The framework can't do the thinking for you."

"You're not going to truly learn the reasons students miss school from a school or district data system, because those reasons aren't reliably tracked. The only way to really understand is by going directly to the students and families themselves."

"We've tried numerous evidence-based programs that failed not because they were wrong for our context, but because we lacked infrastructure to implement them properly."